

Review of Pooling of Foreign Tax Credits on Royalty Income

I INTRODUCTION

1. This review largely stems from recommendation 7.22 in the 2009 Report of the Commission on Taxation, namely that ‘*An overall foreign pooling system for foreign withholding tax on royalty payments should be introduced*’. A number of submissions have also been made in recent years by various parties, such as Forfás and the American Chamber of Commerce, to introduce changes to the tax treatment of inbound royalty payments.

Terms of Reference

- 2 The terms of reference for the review are set out below:

(i) Investigate the validity of the 2006 €46.5m Forfás costing of a move to a pooling system for foreign tax credits on inbound royalties

- i.e. what's included/excluded from the €46.5m costing; impact on different sectors; impact of any relevant changes since 2006 - e.g. IP regime

(ii) Costs / Benefits of Potential Options for Introducing a Pooling System

- cost of introducing a single pool system versus 2 pools (treaty and non-treaty) etc.,

- cost, and determination of optimum level, of a limiting measure that would restrict the percentage of the unrelieved foreign credits that could be pooled

- identify, insofar as is possible, likely future behavioural changes which would impact on the cost
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

- analysis of potential revenue / job benefits of a move to a pooling system

Methodology

3. Meetings were held with relevant officials in the following organisations with a view to obtaining data/information and to ascertain their views on the recommendation by the Commission on Taxation:

- Department of Finance,
- Department of Enterprise, Trade and Employment,
- Enterprise Ireland,
- Forfás,
- IDA,
- Revenue Commissioners.

4. A data collection exercise was carried out by the Revenue Commissioners at the end of March 2010. They circulated their districts/units with a request for information regarding royalties received from abroad in 2008. On foot of this, a detailed analysis of the 2008 tax returns of XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX in Ireland was conducted.
5. A meeting was also held with the CSO to see if relevant data could be obtained from them. In addition, meetings were also held with the following accountancy practitioners to listen to their views on the impact of withholding taxes on royalties on their clients.
 - PwC
 - KPMG.
6. The review also briefly restates relevant Forfás research which outlines the arguments in favour of introducing pooling, provides a costing for a new pooling scheme and describes pooling schemes in other countries where pooling is available.
7. The structure of this paper is outlined as follows:
 - Section II briefly outlines the current tax treatment of royalties payable to Irish businesses in respect of Intellectual Property (IP) that is used abroad.
 - Section III compares the treatment of royalties in Ireland to the treatment of royalties by selected jurisdictions.
 - Section IV considers the basis of the Forfás costing by assessing the assumptions underlying the model and estimating a range of costs for the scheme.
 - Section V considers the Costs / Benefits of Potential Options for introducing a Pooling System.

II CURRENT TAX TREATMENT OF FOREIGN ROYALTY INCOME

Double Taxation

8. Double taxation can arise where a person who is resident in the State has income arising in another country. The income may be taxed in that other country because it is sourced there. It will also be taxable in the State as part of the person's worldwide income.
9. Double Taxation Agreements (DTAs or treaties) are designed to prevent double taxation. They do this by allocating the right to tax particular sources of income between the two countries concerned. This might involve one of the countries having the sole right to tax income. An alternative approach is that both of the countries would be entitled to tax the income but tax paid in the source country of the income would be credited against the tax payable on that income in the other country. Many countries adopt this latter approach in relation to royalty

payments. In addition to preventing double taxation, withholding tax rates are typically reduced under double taxation agreements.

10. Three main types of income suffer foreign tax; dividends, interest and royalties. Where such income is received from a treaty country, credit relief is available for any related foreign tax against Irish corporation tax on that income. Unilateral relief is available in respect of foreign taxes on dividends, interest and royalties (since Finance Act 2010) which are taxed as trading income.

Income from treaty countries – double taxation relief

11. ‘The credit method’ - Irish tax legislation provides a credit for any foreign withholding taxes imposed by a country with which Ireland has negotiated a tax treaty. A credit is provided for the lower of the foreign withholding tax or the Irish tax arising on the same income. The current rules for calculating foreign tax credits for foreign tax suffered on income from territories with which Ireland has a double tax treaty are set out in schedule 24 of the Taxes Consolidation Act 1997. The rules limit the foreign tax credit to the Irish tax attributable to the foreign source income – the limit is calculated as 12.5% of the ‘Irish measure of the foreign income’.

Example:

Country A

Sales in Country A	€1000
Withholding tax rate	10%
Withholding tax in Country A	€100
Profit margin in Country A	30%
Net Profit in Country A	€300
Irish tax in Country A	€37.50

12. Under the current system, if Company X receives royalties of €1,000 from Country A, Country A imposes a withholding tax of 10% or €100. If Company X’s net profit margin is 30% then Company X earns €300. Relief in respect of the foreign tax is granted as follows;

Irish income before deduction for foreign tax	300
Deduction for foreign tax	<u>72</u>
Taxable amount	228 @12.5%
Corporation tax	28
Credit for foreign tax	28
Net Liability	Nil

Credit for the €100 foreign tax has been given as follows; €72 by deduction and €28 by way of credit.

Income from non-treaty countries – unilateral relief

13. Where Ireland does not have a tax treaty in place, relief can still be given unilaterally under Irish law. This involves giving credit for tax suffered in the source country against Irish tax on the income concerned in spite of the fact that there is no reciprocal arrangement.

20. The Taxes Acts currently provides for pooling on a restricted basis in respect of foreign tax on both dividends and interest. Pooling is available in respect of foreign tax on dividends received from a company's foreign subsidiaries (minimum holding 5%) and in respect of interest (taxed as trading income) received from a company's foreign 25% subsidiaries resident in treaty countries.

Differences between pooling for Dividends and Interest

21. However, there are significant differences between the pooling provided for dividends and branch profits on the one hand and interest on the other.

(i) Dividends -

- all dividends qualify whether from treaty or non-treaty associated companies
- the associate holding requirement is 5%, and
- there is carry-forward of any surplus credits that cannot be pooled in the current year.

(ii) Branch profit pooling is on a similarly comprehensive basis.

(iii) There is a more restrictive regime for interest—

- the interest must be from treaty associated companies
- the associate holding requirement is 25%, and
- there is no carry-forward of surplus credits.

22. Part of the explanation for the different approaches outlined above is that dividends are not deductible in computing the source-country profits and therefore are paid out of *taxed* profits whereas interest, being generally deductible in the source country, will not have been taxed indirectly in the way dividends are.

23. However, both dividends and interest may suffer foreign tax directly by the deduction of withholding tax and, in addition, pooling in both cases involves a forgoing of Irish tax.

III INTERNATIONAL COMPARISON

Investment decision

24. The tax paid on royalty income is one of the many factors which could influence a company's choice to locate to or remain in Ireland. Other factors would include cost competitiveness, availability of a skilled labour force, the rate of corporate taxation and the level of infrastructure. It is not possible to quantify the relative importance of the tax treatment of royalties in investment decisions made by multinational companies. However, recent economic developments have encouraged companies to restructure their businesses in order to minimise withholding taxes particularly where tax on royalties constitutes a significant expense. In addition, companies are also considering the consolidation of activities into regional hubs. As a result, competition for investment in this area is likely to intensify.

Comparison of tax treatment

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX At this stage, the ability to carry forward unused credits is not common to pooling systems although the ability to pool royalty income in a single pool is a feature in the countries where pooling is available.

IV COST OF INTRODUCING POOLING SYSTEM

30. There are two cost elements to a pooling scheme - the static cost and the dynamic behavioural cost. The static cost is the cost of the scheme based on the current circumstances of eligible beneficiaries for the scheme e.g. foreign royalty income, corporation tax on royalty income etc. As described above, the current Irish tax treatment allows some relief for foreign withholding taxes paid but a portion of foreign withholding tax goes 'unrelieved'. The static cost is the amount of this currently 'unrelieved tax' that could be offset against corporation tax if pooling was introduced.

31. The dynamic behavioural cost takes into account the additional costs which could accrue if companies changed behaviour as a result of the scheme
XXXXXXXXXXXX
XX
XX

32. There are a number of cost drivers for the scheme. Taken on an individual company basis, the tax forgone per beneficiary is based on:

- the distribution of foreign royalty income between different jurisdictions with varying rates of withholding tax
- the net margin on foreign royalty income subject to Irish corporation tax
- the Irish corporation tax liability on all royalty income eligible to be relieved through foreign withholding tax credits.

Forfás Model

33. XXX
XX
XX
XX
XX
XX

34. As per the terms of reference, the Forfás costing has been examined by reviewing the model's assumptions and by cross-checking with available Revenue information. Since the detail of the calculations and the underlying company data were not available, it was not possible to conclusively test the validity of the model. For example, it is not clear how the unrelieved foreign tax on royalty income was established. Nor is it not known if / how the Irish tax attributable to royalty income from treaty countries was estimated for each given company. (If pooling was introduced, foreign tax on income from one jurisdiction could be offset against Irish tax payable on royalty income from another jurisdiction. In order to establish how much of the foreign tax could be relieved upon the introduction of pooling, it is necessary to establish how much Irish tax is payable on royalty income from the other jurisdictions in the pool).

XX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX This could result in a potentially very
significant loss to the exchequer.

42. XXX
XX

43. It could be argued that this static cost is entirely a deadweight cost as the companies concerned currently operate here in the absence of pooling. However, Forfás strongly argue that this additional tax incentive is necessary to maintain existing business and to improve Ireland's tax offering as a destination for the location and exploitation of intellectual property. The case made by Forfás is outlined below.

44. There are a number of possible options that would allow us to limit the considerable static costs identified above and to mitigate the upside risk presented by a change in behaviour.

V THE CASE FOR CHANGE

45. Historically withholding tax was often considered a cost of doing business in a particular country and appeared as a cost of sales in the accounts. In recent years, accounting standards have confirmed that withholding tax should be shown as a tax and therefore shown on the tax line of financial statements. This has highlighted the cost of withholding tax in recent years.

46. XXX
XX
XXXXXXXXXXXX. Companies are increasingly consolidating their activities into regional headquarters XXX
XX
XX
XX

47. Global sourcing has created new forms of competition that are changing the shape of markets and introducing new players to compete on price, quality and speed. Companies are increasingly seeking to consolidate all of their key, high-value activities into one location. XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

48. Given the growing importance of IP and recent efforts to attract IP to Ireland, the tax treatment of its income flows (royalties) may become more important in the future as a factor for companies making FDI decisions.

49. The expiry of the 10% tax regime at end-2010 and the potential for additional tax receipts arising from the transition of some of the largest companies to the 12.5% rate means that this may be the opportune time for considering this issue.

